

Canadian Bioinformatics Workshops

www.bioinformatics.ca bioinformaticsdotca.github.io



bioinformatics.ca

Module

Creative Commons

This page is available in the following languages: Afrikaans български Català Dansk Deutsch Exληνικά English (CA) English (GB) English (US) Esperanto Castellano Castellano (AR) Español (CL) Castellano (CO) Español (Ecuador) Castellano (MX) Castellano (PE) Euskara Suomeksi français français (CA) Galego ביריבט hrvatski Magyar Italiano 日本語 한국어 Macedonian Melayu Nederlands Norsk Sesotho sa Leboa polski Português română slovenski jazîk cpncxu srpski (latinica) Sotho svenska 中文 華麗 (台灣) isiZulu



Learn how to distribute your work using this licence

Gene regulation and motif analysis

Michael M. Hoffman (@michaelhoffman) Pathway and Network Analysis of –omics Data

May 10-12, 2021





https://hoffmanlab.org/

bioinformatics.ca

bioinformatics.ca

Learning Objectives

- By the end of this lecture, you will:
 - Understand challenges in predicting transcription factor (TF) binding
 - Be able to identify binding sites for known TFs
 - Be able to discover TF binding motifs in genomic regions like ChIPseq peaks or promoters using iRegulon and Cytoscape



bioinformatics.ca

Module

Overview

- **Part 1:** Introduction to eukaryotic transcription
- **Part 2:** Prediction of transcription factor binding sites
- **Part 3:** Discovering novel motifs enriched in regulatory regions
- **Part 4:** Effectiveness of position weight matrix models
- **Part 5:** Incorporating information about the biochemistry of gene regulation

Part 1 Introduction to eukaryotic transcription

Transcription over-simplified

- 1. **TF** binds to DNA at **TF** binding site
- 2. TF recruits RNA polymerase II
- 3. RNA polymerase II produces RNA



Anatomy of transcriptional regulation

WARNING: Terms vary widely in meaning between scientists



- Core promoter Sufficient for initiation of transcription; orientation dependent
 - TSS transcription start site
 - Often really a transcription start *region*
- TFBS single transcription factor binding site
- Regulatory regions
 - Proximal/distal vague reference to distance from TSS
 - May be positive (enhancing) or negative (repressing)
 - Orientation independent (generally)
 - Modules Sets of TFBS within a region that function together
- Transcriptional unit
 - DNA sequence transcribed as a single polycistronic mRNA

Complexity in transcription





ENCODE Project Consortium 2011. PLoS Biol 9:e1001046.











ENCODE The Encyclopedia of DNA Elements



Accessing regulatory data

- ENCODE Project
 - <u>http://encodeproject.org/</u>
- UCSC Genome Browser

 <u>http://genome.ucsc.edu/</u>
- Ensembl
 - <u>http://ensembl.org/</u>
- Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)
 - <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/</u>

Part 2 Prediction of TF binding sites

Teaching a computer to find transcription factor binding sites

Representing binding sites for a TF

- Single site
 - AAGTTAATGATTAAC
- Set of sites, represented as a consensus
 - VDRTWRWWSHDWVDH (IUPAC degenerate DNA)
- Set of sites, represented as a position frequency matrix (PFM)



Set of binding sites AAGTTAATGATTAAC CAGTTAATAAATAAC GAGTTAAACACTAAA CAGTTAATTAGTAAC GAGTTAATAAATAAC CAGTTATTCAGTAAC GAGTTAATAAATCAT CAGTTAATCAGTAAT AGATTAAAGAATAAT AAGTTAACGATTAAC AGGTTAACGATACAC ATGTTGATGATAAAC AAGTTAATGATAAAT AAGTTAACGATAAAC AAATTAATGATTCAC GAGTTAATGATTAAA AAGTTAATCATTGAC AAGTTGATGATTAAG AAATTAATGATTGAC ATGTTAATGATTAAC AAGTAAATGATTAAA AAGTTAATGATTGCC AAGTTAATGATTGAC AAATTAATGATTGAC AAGTTAATGATTAGG AAGTTAATGATTAAT AAGTTAATGATTAGC AAGTTAATGATTAAT

Position frequency matrix (PFM) → position weight matrix (PWM)



column *i*

Detecting binding sites in a single sequence



Relative scores

Rel score = $\frac{7.65-56016}{100} \cdot 100$)0 %
Max_score – Min_score	
$-\frac{13.4-(-10.3)}{.100\%}$	0/_
$ \begin{array}{c} A & \begin{bmatrix} -0.2284 & 0.4368 & -1.5 & -1.5 & -1.5 & 0.4368 & -1.5 & -1.5 & -0.2284 & 0.4368 \end{bmatrix} \\ C & \begin{bmatrix} -0.2284 & -0.2284 & -1.5 & -1.5 & 1.5128 & -1.5 & -0.2284 & -1.5 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} $	/0
G [1.2348 1.2348 2.1222 2.1222 0.4368 1.2348 1.5128 1.7457 -1.5]	
T [0.4368 -0.2284 -1.5 -1.5 -0.2284 0.4368 0.4368 0.4368 -1.5 1.7457]	
MIn_score = -10.3 (sum of lowest column scores)	

Empirical p-value score





Part 3:

De novo discovery of transcription factor binding sites

Motif discovery problem

• Given sequences



• Find motif

IGRGGFGEVY at position 515 LGEGCFGQVV at position 430 VGSGGFGQVY at position 682



Motif discovery problem

- Given:
 - a sequence or family of sequences.
- Find:
 - the number of motifs
 - the width of each motif
 - the locations of motif occurrences



Why is this hard?

- Input sequences are long (thousands or millions of residues).
- Motif may be *subtle*
 - Instances are short.
 - Instances are only slightly similar.





We are given a set of promoters from co-regulated genes.



An unknown transcription factor binds to positions unknown to us, on either DNA strand.

5'-	TCTCTCTCCACGGCTAATTAGGTGATCATGAAAAAATGAAAAATTCATGAGAAAAGAGTCAGACATCGAAACATACAT
5'-	
5'-	CACATCCAACGAATCACCTCACCGTTATCGTGACTCACTTTCTTT
5'-	
5'-	ACAAAGGTACCTTCCTGGCCAATCTCACAGATTTAATATAGTAAATTGTCATGCATATGACTCATCCCGAACATGAAA
5'-	ATTGATTGACTCATTTTCCTCTGACTACTACCAGTTCAAAATGTTAGAGAAAAATAGAAAAGCAGAAAAAAAA
5'-	



DNA binding motif of the transcription factor can be described by a position weight matrix (PWM).



5'-	TCTCTCTCCACGGCTAATTAGGTGATCATGAAAAAATGAAAAATTCATGAGAAAAGAGTCAGACATCGAAACATACAT
5'-	
5'-	
5'-	
5'-	ACAAAGGTACCTTCCTGGCCAATCTCACAGATTTAATATAGTAAATTGTCATGCATATGACTCATCCCGAACATGAAA
5'-	
<u>- ۲</u>	GGCGCCACAGTCCGCGTTTGGTTATCCGGCTGACTCATTCTGACTCTTTTTTGGAAAGTGTGGCATGTGCTTCACACA

Sequence motif discovery problem is to discover the sites (or the motif) given just the sequences.



Alternating approach

- 1. Guess an initial weight matrix
- 2. Use weight matrix to <u>predict instances</u> in the input sequences
- 3. Use instances to predict a weight matrix
- 4. Repeat 2 & 3 until satisfied.

Gibbs Sampler: 1. Initialization

Randomly guess an instance s_i from each of t input sequences {S₁, ..., S_t}.



Gibbs Sampler: 2a. Define PWM







.45	.45	.45	.05	.05	.05	.05
.25	.45	.05	.25	.45	.05	.05
.05	.05	.45	.65	.05	.65	.05
.25	.05	.05	.05	.45	.25	.85

Gibbs Sampler: 2b. Predict instances



1							
	.45	.45	.45	.05	.05	.05	.05
	.25	.45	.05	.25	.45	.05	.05
	.05	.05	.45	.65	.05	.65	.05
	.25	.05	.05	.05	.45	.25	.85



Gibbs Sampler: 3. Pick new instance



Gibbs sampler

- Initially: randomly guess an instance s_i from each of t input sequences {S₁, ..., S_t}.
- Steps 2 & 3 (search):
 - Throw away an instance s_i : remaining (t 1) instances define weight matrix.
 - Weight matrix defines <u>instance probability</u> at each position of input string S_i
 - <u>Pick new s</u>, according to probability distribution
- Return highest-scoring motif seen

TOMTOM: predict which proteins may bind a DNA motif



Part 4

Effectiveness of the position weight matrix model

The Good...

- Tronche (1997) tested 50 predicted HNF1 TFBS using an in vitro binding test and found that 96% of the predicted sites were bound!
- Stormo and Fields (1998) found in detailed biochemical studies that the best weight matrices produce scores highly correlated with in vitro binding energy



...the Bad...

- Fickett (1995) found that a profile for the MyoD TF made predictions at a rate of 1 per ~500 bp of human DNA sequence
 - This corresponds to an average of 20 sites / gene (assuming 10,000 bp as average gene size)

...and the Ugly!

Human Cardiac α-Actin gene analyzed with a set of profiles (each line represents a TFBS prediction)

<u>Futility conjecture</u>: TFBS predictions are almost always wrong

Red boxes are protein coding exons -TFBS predictions excluded in this analysis

More stringency doesn't help





- Counter to intuition, the ratio of true positives to predictions fails to improve for "stringent" thresholds
 - For most predictive models this ratio would increase
- Why?
 - True binding sites are defined by properties not incorporated into the profile scores - above some threshold all sites *could* be bound if present in the right setting

It's even worse than we imagined



Please make it stop



Part 4

What have we learned?

- PWMs can accurately reflect *in vitro* binding properties of DNA-binding proteins
- Suitable binding sites occur at a rate far too frequent to reflect *in vivo* function
- *In vivo* presence of a DNA-binding protein often occurs without a strong motif
- Bioinformatics methods that use PWMs for binding site studies must incorporate additional information to enhance specificity
 - Unfiltered predictions are too noisy for most applications
 - Organisms with short regulatory sequences are less problematic (such as yeast and *E. coli*)

Part 5 Incorporating information about the biochemistry of gene regulation

Segway: semi-automated genome annotation



Transcription start site (TSS)



Hoffman MM et al. 2013. Nucleic Acids Res 41:827.

E C

Q Search

☆ 自

O A https://www.pmgenomics.ca/hoffmanlab/proj/segway/

Segway: semi-automate...

Segway semi-automated genomic annotation

Hoffman MM, Buske OJ, Wang J, Weng Z, Bilmes J, Noble WS. 2012. Unsupervised pattern discovery in human chromatin structure through genomic segmentation. Nat Methods 9:473–476. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1937. PubMed Central (free version): PMC3340533 (BibTeX)

Hoffman MM*, Ernst J*, Steven WP, Kundaje A, Harris RS, Libbrecht M, Giardine B, Ellenbogen PM, Bilmes JA, Birney E, Hardison RC, Dunham I, Kellis M, Noble WS. 2012. Integrative annotation of chromatin elements from ENCODE data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41:827-841 doi: (BibTeX)

The free Segway software package contains a novel method for analyzing multiple tracks of functional genomics data. Our method uses a dynamic Bayesian network (DBN) model, which enables it to analyze the entire genome at 1-bp resolution even in the face of heterogeneous patterns of missing data. This method is the first application of DBN techniques to genome-scale data and the first genomic segmentation method designed for use with the maximum resolution data available from ChIP-seq experiments without downsampling. Segway uses the Graphical Models Toolkit (GMTK) for efficient DBN inference. Our software has extensive documentation and was designed from the outset with external users in mind.

Segmentations

Human chromatin structure

There are two published segmentations of human chromatin structure available.

- 1. The regulatory segmentation from the Ensembl Regulatory Build viewable in Ensembl
- The segmentation from our Nature Methods paper, "Unsupervised pattern discovery in human chromatin structure through genomic segmentation," viewable in the UCSC Genome Browser

Ensembl

The segmentation can be displayed by clicking the "Configure this page" option on the left navigation bar. The segmentations for each cell line can be selected under "Regulatory Features" and under the heading of "Enable/disable all Segmentation features". As an example you can try viewing the segmentations for *BRCA2* in hg38.

For more details and instructions see the description of Regulatory Segmentation.

UCSC Genome Browser

The Ensembl Regulatory Build for GRCh38 (hg38) can be viewed here. It can also be loaded through the Track Data Hub interface. You can connect *Ensembl Regulatory Build" listed in the Public Hubs directory. After loading the track hub, you can show the "Cell Type Segmentations" supertrack which contains a Segway track for each of 18 cell types.

For older assemblies you can load, they can be browsed below:



GCAIACAGCAICAICAGAIACGACIACAGCA TACATAGATATCAGCATACAGCAGACTCATG ACATCAGACAGCAGCGACGCAGACTCTCTC ATCATACATCAGACAGCAGCATACCCCACCA AACGATAGACONTEXTCATACTACTCATAGA ACACACCATACTACGACTACAGACTCAGAC CAAAGGGGTCCGCTCGACGCGCCTACTGCA GCATCTCGGATCGCATCAMATTERSCGCAG CTTCATCTCAGCGCAGCAGGCCCATTAGCG AGCTACTCGAGCGATCAGCGACTCTCAGCG ATCTACCGGGCTATTCACGAGCAGCTTACGC

DNA shape features at Transcription Factor Binding Sites

Using data from JASPAR2014, the Rohs' lab developed the TFBSshape database storing DNA shape features of TFBSs.

Considered DNA shape features are :

- Minor Groove Width (MGW)
- Roll
- Propeller Twist (ProT)
- Helix Twist (HelT)



L. Yang, T. Zhou, I. Dror, A. Mathelier, W.W. Wasserman, R. Gordan, R. Rohs. Nucl. Acids Res., 2014.

A. Mathelier and X. Zhao, et al., B. Lenhard, A. Sandelin, W.W. Wasserman. Nucl. Acids Res., 2014.

TFBSshape: http://rohslab.cmb.usc.edu/TFBSshape/

Shape Properties



Big challenges ahead

- Understanding all TFs across a developing organism
- Genetic variation in TFBS
- Integration of context and more complex predictive models
- Transition from matrices to hidden Markov models or energy models

Complexity in transcription



Reflections

- Futility conjecture essentially predictions of individual TFBS have no relationship to an *in vivo* function
- Successful bioinformatics methods for site discrimination incorporate additional information (clusters, conservation)
- TFBS enrichment is a powerful means to identify TFs likely to contribute to observed patterns of co-expression
- Successful methods for pattern discovery will have to incorporate additional information (ChIPseq, conservation, structural constraints on TFs, 3D genome organization)

We are on a Coffee Break & Networking Session

Workshop Sponsors:



Canadian Centre for Computational Genomics









Module